▲ AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA						
		District of	NEBRA	SKA		
UN	ITED STATES OF AMERICA			2008 FEB 27	PM 5: 34	
	v.	ORDER (OF DETENTION	PENDINGER	HAL CLEDK	
	AMY L. MCNALLY	Case	4:08CR3031	OITIOL OF	INC OLCHN	
	Defendant					
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.						
Part I—Findings of Fact						
	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in*					
a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.						
(3) A p for (4) Fin	 § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. 					
Sait	safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not reducted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)					
x (1) Th						
	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.					
(2) Ine	(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.					
						
<u> </u>						
					 	
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that Drug use + Circumstances of accest demonstrate						
dana	ec. Eval w	111 6 2 562	1			
_ uany	CUAL W	ill be arra	754		<u></u>	
	D					
to the extent reasonable of Government,	ndant is committed to the custody of the Attorn practicable, from persons awaiting or servin opportunity for private consultation with defer the person in charge of the corrections facility with a court proceeding.	ig sentences or being held in cust use counsel. On order of a court	sentative for confinement in ody pending appeal. The of the United States or on	defendant shall be a request of an attorn	afforded a ev for the	
_2/27/08 / Level L. (texter)						
Date Signature of Judicial Officer						
David L. Piester, U.S. Magistrate Judge Name and Title of Judicial Officer						

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).